1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
3	
4	
5	In re:) Civil 05-MD-1708 (DWF/AJB)
6	
7 8	GUIDANT CORPORATION) STATUS CONFERENCE IMPLANTABLE DEFIBRILLATOR) PRODUCTS LIABILITY) LITIGATION,)
)
9)
10	This Document Relates) To All Actions) 9:15 o'clock, a.m.
11) April 25, 2007) Minneapolis, Minnesota
12	, Himeapolis, Himebota
13	
14	
15	THE HONODARIE THREE DONOMAN IN EDANIC
16	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN W. FRANK
17	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE ARTHUR J. BOYLAN
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGES
19	STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDING
20	
21	
22	* * *
23	JEANNE M. ANDERSON
24	Registered Merit Reporter Suite 646, 316 North Robert Street
	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
25	(651) 848-1221
	I

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	LEAD PLAINTIFF COUNSEL:
4	
5	Charles S. Zimmerman, Esq. Zimmerman Reed
6	651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4123
7	(612) 341-0400
8	
9	And
10	Richard Arsenault, Esq.
11	Neblett, Beard & Arsenault 2200 Bonaventure Court
12	Alexandria, LA 71301 (318) 487-9874
13	
14	And
15	Wendy R. Fleishman, Esq.
16	Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Berstein, LLP
17	275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
18	(415) 956-1000
19	And
20	
21	Seth R. Lesser, Esq. Locks Law Firm, PLLC
22	110 East 55th Street New York, NY 10022
23	(212) 838-3333
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2		
3	PLAINTIFF LIAISON COUN	SEL:
4		
5		Charles S. Zimmerman, Esq.
6		Zimmerman Reed 651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501
7		Minneapolis, MN 55402-4123 (612) 341-0400
9		
10		* * *
11		
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	Zimmerman Reed
13		651 Nicollet Mall, Suite 501 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4123 (612) 341-0400
14		(012) 341-0400
15	And	
16		Silvija A. Strikis, Esq.
17		Kellogg, Huber, Hansen Todd, Evans & Figel, PLLC
18		Sumner Square 1615 M Street, N.W.
19		Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036
20		(202) 326-7939
21	And	
23		C. Brooks Cutter, Esq.
24		Kershaw Cutter & Ratinoff LLP 980 9th Street, 19th Floor
25		Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 448-9800

APPEARANCES (Continued): FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Nicholas J. Drakulich, Esq. Jennings & Drakulich LLP 2002 Jimmy Durante Boulevard Suite 400 Del Mar, California 92014 (858) 755-5887

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	LEAD DEFENDANT COUNSEL:
4	Timothy A. Pratt, Esq.
5	Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP 2555 Grand Boulevard
6	Kansas City, MO 64108-6550 (816) 474-6550
7	
8	
9	
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
14 15	
16	Andrew D. Carpenter, Esq.
17	Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP 2555 Grand Boulevard
18	Kansas City, MO 64108-6550 (816) 474-6550
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(In open court.)

THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK: Thank you. You may be seated. We are trying to travel with an entourage, now. So, if you saw the group that came in, it shouldn't be mistaken for the Muhammad Ali entourage from years ago. But, we can proceed with the -- welcome, everyone to the 15th floor of the Minneapolis Courthouse. We can proceed with the report.

I will just indicate, and counsel can say as they wish, we discussed in chambers: With respect to item 3, which is denoted, "Issue raised in Plaintiffs' letter brief (Defendant's expert witness disclosures);" item five, "Myerburg Deposition Scope;" six, "Motion to quash (Clasby trial)." There were two orders entered in the last 48 hours by me, one yesterday, that addressed these issues.

So, for those of you that are in the room that were not in chambers, they really weren't discussed this morning. The procedure we have got set up will either be a ruling off the Bench like we did at the last hearing, or an order that is then turned around within 24 hours or two days as we did this week.

So, whether counsel wants to address that to Judge Boylan or myself, it's up to you. So, we can proceed whenever you are ready.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good morning, Your Honors. Charles Zimmerman, co-lead counsel for the Plaintiffs Steering Committee. And we appreciate the speed in which these responses are coming from our letter briefing and the matters that are on these Tuesday calendars every, I guess, three Tuesdays out of four that the Court has implemented in regard to the new procedure for getting quick resolution of matters.

the web.

It is really helping us to proceed with keeping on schedule for the representative trials and allowing us to not have to wait for decisions and be kind of stuck in place. I just can't tell you how helpful it is. We don't always in all due respects necessarily agree with every decision, but we certainly appreciate the promptness and appreciate the mechanisms that the Court has set in place. So, I just wanted to say that.

And for the people that aren't privy to them, they are contained in your instructions, I think, on April 20 -- no. Well, you sent us a letter, I believe.

THE COURT: And we did, and that is out on

MR. ZIMMERMAN: ECF, yes. And it is actually quite cutting edge. I haven't seen that before, done that way, and I want to thank you very much for taking

on that initiative and being willing to respond in such quick time.

We have an agenda that has also been posted as kind of the "Proposed Joint Agenda for Status Conference on April 25," and we did have a pretrial meeting, pre-conference meeting with the Court that concluded about 15 minutes ago.

Many of those items that the Court said have been resolved by the orders that I just referenced, the Amended PTO 32, and the letter from the Court, I believe, dated -- I believe, was it the 22nd, the Order? I don't have it in front me.

THE COURT: In that neighborhood.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: In that neighborhood, April 22nd. So, very soon before that, of April 24.

First off, Your Honor, we have the status of cases filed in Federal Court and transferred into the MDL. Normally Mr. Pratt gives that report as to the number of cases filed, transferred and what is pending in State Court, so I will allow Mr. Pratt to come forward to provide that.

MR. PRATT: Your Honor, this is always a heavy-lifting part for me where I have to come up with these numbers.

Here is where we are with respect to the case

numbers. There are 1,329 cases actually pending here in the MDL in front of Your Honor. There are a total of 1,346 Federal Court cases. Obviously, the difference between the two is that some of them are pending transfer here.

There are currently 105 State Court cases, most of whom, percentage-wise, are pending in State

Court here in Minnesota, consolidated before Judge Leary in Ramsey County.

There are, because Mr. Zimmerman always asks me, in those cases in the MDL a total of 2,249 device Plaintiffs, and that is in the 1,329 cases.

I thought I would also mention this, because it adds a little context to these numbers. We have in this MDL about five different broadly-stated product lines. All of them are caught in some form or fashion into recalls back in the summer and fall of 2005. We have the Prizm 2, Model 1861, which is the one that was publicized in the New York Times publications. We have Renewal 1 and 2, separate defibrillator product lines. We have Renewal 3 and 4, a different defibrillator CRT product line. We have AVT, which is a different defibrillator product line, and then we have the pacemaker products, broadly stated. So, we put them into five different categories.

I thought you might be interested in the scatter of your MDL cases across those product lines. There is some imprecision that comes into play, here, because in some respects the scatter isn't clearly stated or alleged.

But, what we have been able to divine in a general way is that of the cases pending here in the MDL, about 25 percent of them are the Prizm 2, Model 1861. About 10 percent of them are the Renewal 1 and 2 product line cases.

Approximately 20 percent are Renewal 3 and 4 product line defibrillator cases. Approximately 15 percent represent the AVT product line defibrillator line. And then approximately 15 percent are captured by the pacemaker physician advisors.

We also have, when you add those up, you don't get to 100. That is because there are several cases pending before you that have not being captured in any of those recalls. So, that gives you some dimensions of the numbers of the spread in a roughly stated, somewhat imprecise way. But, I thought that would be helpful context for what we are dealing with here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, the next item on the agenda, unless there are questions about the status of cases is the report on the representative trial process.

We are reporting that parties, both sides, are moving with amazing diligence and speed to prepare these cases for the trial dates that the Court has set. And every indication, in fact every commitment is that we will be ready for trial on the date set, and that the process is going smoothly.

There are issues that arise, and the issues that get resolved, either to meet and confer, or by direction of the Court. But, in terms of a general report on the representative trial process, it is going extremely well, it is extremely cooperative. There is a lot of heavy lifting going on by both sides and a tremendous amount of process of information, and discovery that is taking place by the trial teams. But, we are here to report that it is going very smoothly and we will be ready for trial on the date set.

MR. PRATT: Just a bit more detail on that,
Your Honor. We have almost completed, we meaning
Guidant, the depositions of the Plaintiffs' experts. I
think we have maybe one left to go, a gentleman by the
name of Dr. Jewell, whose deposition will be taken in

London.

The Plaintiff Steering Committee has started the process of deposing Guidant's experts. And I think they have deposed one to this point. And I agree with everything that Mr. Zimmerman said. The depositions have been handled civilly. They are proceeding without much -- many battles, and we are moving ahead, I think toward the July 27 jury selection date.

I will also mention, Your Honor, because we mentioned it in one of your orders, you had a fact discovery deadline in all five of the bellwether cases of May 1. We talked about moving that, we have submitted a proposal to the Plaintiff Steering Committee as we discussed with you this morning that we hope will sort of give us a rolling deadline for the preceding bellwether trial Plaintiffs. I think that will be something almost certainly we will be able to work out without much judicial discretion having to be applied.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Buried within the representative trial process are the nine motions that have been made by the Defense. And those are set for trial -- set for hearing on May 18th before Your Honor, except I think we agreed in chambers that one motion will be moved --

THE COURT: To June 8th.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: June 8th.

THE COURT: The same date that is set for the Daubert hearings right now?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. And that will be the learned intermediary motion, because there is some prerequisite discovery that has to take place and will be taking place after.

THE COURT: Then I think we agreed this morning, that when you are in town on the 18th, Judge Boylan and I will be getting together with you the late afternoon, evening before to do the informal conference we typically do at 8:00 in the morning.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Right. That is correct.

THE COURT: We will put that, the fact of that conference out on the web so people know what happened to that meeting. Well, it is going to be that late afternoon of the 17th, so --

MR. ZIMMERMAN: So, the motion issue that is on everybody's radar screen, I believe, our response, the Plaintiffs' Response to the Defense Motion is going to be received by Your Honor and filed and served on May 2nd. As I understand we had agreed to an additional two days, one day beyond May 1st, where our response would be due and filed and served on May 2nd.

Number three on the calendar, Your Honor, is

the issues raised in Plaintiffs' letter brief. It had to do with the Defendant's expert disclosures under the rules. And the Court has issued its view on that and we understand it. And it will be abided, it will certainly be abided by.

THE COURT: I think in fairness to the parties, I will just acknowledge, and you can just say what you wish, there was an exchange between the two groups in chambers this morning and it looks to me like there is probably going to be a meeting of the minds on these, at least in this categories, the 22 Guidant folks, with or without this. So --

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, some offers were made in chambers as to the clarification of that. And we are going to meet on that and hopefully get it resolved so it doesn't become an issue. And just for people who were affected -- this simply has to do with what the scope of testimony will be consistent with the expert disclosures, the 22 that are disclosed. It is going to try and narrow that, the Defendants are, to the number that is actually going to testify, as opposed to having more open-ended disclosure and not knowing the opinions of those experts.

So, if there is no more discussion on that, the next item is a schedule for following representative

cases. I am not even sure what that means, exactly, except I know we had a discussion that we were going to meet and confer further with regard to that. I am confused as to --

MR. PRATT: I think I jumped the gun. I think that involves the fact discovery deadline of May 1 that we are now in the process of discussing, so we can resolve some of the deadlines that apply to, you know, seeking trials beyond the final fifth case for trial.

I think that is a matter that we're discussing among ourselves that I believe we will be able to reach an agreement.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: The next issue, Your Honor, is the Myerburg Deposition scope. And again, that was resolved or ordered -- the parameters of that were laid out in the Court's Order. We understand it, and the deposition will take place.

We don't know if under those rules and guidelines, we don't know if Dr. Myerburg, who is by the way the chairman of the Independent Panel, as most people in the courtroom know, his deposition will take place. We know the documents and the transcripts that we are going to receive.

We understand that the Court has ordered it to take place by May 18th, or a date mutually agreed --

or, May 15th. I don't believe we are going to make that May 15th date, because Dr. Myerburg only had a very short period of time that he could sit between now and then, but we will find a mutually agreeable date. And that will probably be sometime in early June.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We did discuss with the Court that because it is an important deposition, because of Dr. Myerburg's standing as head of the Independent Panel, and because he was one of the treating doctors in the first representative trial, that we want that deposition to go smoothly. And we ask that maybe the Court be present in person or through live feed of the deposition transcript. So that if any issues come up during this important deposition, the Court will be available to respond to any objections or any concerns with regard to scope. Because there is an interesting order that has come out that we have to abide by with regard to scope of that deposition. So, we think we are in good shape for that now, Your Honors, in terms of the parameters have been set, the fields have been outlined for us. And now we are just going to get the date and do the work.

MR. PRATT: I think Mr. Zimmerman accurately stated the situation as it now stands.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Motion to Quash with regard to the Clasby issue, that has been resolved. The Motion to Quash was, I believe, denied and we are moving forward.

The next is the Contak Renewal representative trial process. And this is simply the trial -- the bellwether trials or the representative trials after the five that are in the box now. What we agreed to do is meet and confer with regard to laying out some parameters for selection and teeing up of cases in the other product lines that Mr. Pratt discussed, the Renewal 1's and 2's, the Renewal 3's and 4's, and the AVT's, and the pacemakers. And we are going to meet and confer and try and come up with something. If we can't, we are going to submit it to Your Honors, and you are going tell us how that next wave of trial will be done.

THE COURT: I thought what we could do, not inconsistent with what you observed with having due regard for not getting too many distractions to the existing trial schedule for the cases coming up, but also to let the people know inside of the case and maybe more importantly people looking from afar, other plaintiffs, into website and elsewhere, we will roll-out a short order just requesting that the parties discuss those issues about what device to move on with next, a

general order of presentation.

I think the kind of commitment we made is that no matter what is rolled out or when, we will give calendar priority to the cases so there won't be any reaction by the Court here in Minnesota saying, well, we can't get to those, even though you are ready to go. We will get to them whenever everybody is ready to go. An, it is apparent to us, there is going to be some discussion on what device and the timing and some other issues. I don't know if Mr. Pratt wants to be heard additionally on that.

MR. PRATT: Well, I think as we discussed, we are all pretty busy on the 1861 bellwether process right now. But, I think we all agree that we ought to take a look beyond that and see where we think we ought to go with this MDL. So, your order is simply going to instruct us to do so.

What is the next phase, the timing of the next phase, that I think will precipitate some early meetings and perhaps a resolution to those kinds of issues.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: The last item, Your Honor, is as you referenced, the next status conference. There actually isn't going to be a formal status conference,

it is going to be an informal status conference like what we had in chambers before the formal status conference that we had today.

This is going to take place May 17th at 5:00 p.m.. And the reason we are doing it is because there are some other scheduling conflicts that everyone has. And the next day, May 18th, we are going to have this omnibus motion hearing before Your Honors.

So, we agreed to meet and discuss how that omnibus motion hearing was going to take place the next day on the 18th so we could set the road map there and then do any status issues that we have at the same time informally.

So, there won't be a formal status conference in May, it will be informal, dovetailed into the preparation for the May 18th omnibus motion hearing.

With that, Your Honor, I don't have anything further. I don't know if Mr. Pratt has anything further.

MR. PRATT: No, I think that pretty well covers all of the agenda items that we discussed. On the formal agenda, I think it resolves and summarizes all of the discussions we had in the informal conference.

I will echo what Mr. Zimmerman said, which

```
1
    is, we do appreciate some of the quick attention to the
2
    outstanding motions and issues that have been raised in
    the course of this.
3
                I think we will continue that process.
                                                         Wе
    will still probably have to file some motions to deal
5
6
    with the real substantive issues, but in the main, the
7
    little ones, I think, will be taken care of without much
    flare and fight being done. Thank you, Your Honor.
8
9
                THE COURT: Can you approach the bench, the
10
    two of you?
11
                MR. ZIMMERMAN: Which way do you approach it?
                (Discussion off the record.)
12
13
                THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE BOYLAN:
14
    Anyone else in the courtroom want to bring anything to
    our attention?
15
16
                THE COURT: Mr. Zimmerman, anything else they
    probably thought there was some issue about decorum in
17
18
    the -- so, anything else on behalf of the Plaintiffs?
19
                MR. ZIMMERMAN: No, Your Honor. I would just
20
    instruct the students it gets tougher from here.
21
    was a softball you got. Next time it gets a little
22
    tougher.
23
                THE COURT: Anything further on behalf of the
24
    Defendants?
25
                MR. PRATT: I worried a little bit.
                                                      These
```

```
aspiring young lawyers are watching us do our thing in
1
2
    here and they are saying to themselves, that wasn't too
    hard. Are these guys getting paid for this? Sometimes
3
    it gets a little harder than this.
4
                MR. ZIMMERMAN: Well, you are. Sometimes you
5
6
    don't get paid for it, either.
7
                MR. PRATT: That is all we have.
                THE COURT: We are adjourned. Thank you very
8
9
    much.
                (Adjournment.)
10
11
12
13
14
                Certified by:
15
                               Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR
                               Official Court Reporter
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```